Regular paper

# $RuP_2$ pool size indicated by $CO_2$ assimilation following the abrupt loss of light

#### J.W. CARY and G.E. KLEINKOPF

Agricultural Research Service, US Department of Agriculture, Snake River Conservation Research Center, and the University of Idaho, College of Agriculture Research and Extension Center, Kimberly, ID 83341, USA

(Received: 25 February 1985; in revised form: 8 May 1985)

Key words: light stress, photosynthesis, RuP<sub>1</sub> carboxylase, water stress

Abstract. Measurement of the changes in  $CO_2$  uptake by single leaves following the abrupt onset of darkness were made on sugarbeets (*Beta vulgaris* L.) and (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) The shape of the  $CO_2$  dark response curve was analyzed with respect to the reaction kinetics of  $CO_2$ ,  $RuP_2$  and  $RuP_2$  carboxylase. It was concluded that the net uptake of  $CO_2$  in the dark from a 1%  $O_2$  atmosphere can be approximately related to the pool size of the  $RuP_2$  substrate in the chloroplasts of  $C_3$  plants. This information was combined with  $CO_2$  levels and decay rates of the response curves to infer changes in carboxylase activity. Preliminary data are presented showing the relative concentration changes in  $RuP_2$  as light intensity decreases and as water stress increases. The method may prove useful in studies of plant response to environmental stresses.

#### Introduction

The amount of ribulose-1,5-biphosphate  $(RuP_2)$  in leaves is of increasing practical interest because there may be times when it limits  $CO_2$  assimilation rates [9]. The generation of  $RuP_2$  requires energy developed by light driven electron transfer. In  $C_3$  plants where the  $CO_2$  fixed by PEP carboxylase is small, and when  $O_2$  levels are purposely kept low,  $RuP_2$  reacts nearly stoichometrically with  $CO_2$ . Under these conditions, measurements of net  $CO_2$  assimilation immediately following the onset of darkness may be useful in estimating the pool size of  $RuP_2$  in the chloroplasts. The work reported here was undertaken to explore this possibility and to consider how it might be used experimentally.

## Methods

Transpiration and  $CO_2$  uptake were measured by standard methods as previously described [1], except that the gas exchange chamber was modified to accomodate intact leaves on potted plants. This was accomplished by cutting a slit in the side of the outermost chamber so that the leaf could be passed through it and into the assimilation cell. The slit was sealed around the leaf or its petiole with noncorosive silicon rubber<sup>\*</sup>. The volume of the gas exchange cell and the gas lines to the analyzer was reduced as much as possible to decrease the lag time between instrument response and changes in  $CO_2$  assimilation. Specifically, the area of leaf tissue in the cell was  $19.6 \text{ cm}^2$ , the volume of the gas in the cell surrounding this part of the leaf was 20 ml and the volume of gas in the connecting lines was about 15 ml. The flow rate was  $11 \text{ min}^{-1}$  and the boundary was  $110 \text{ sm}^{-1}$ . Leaf temperature was  $19 \pm 0.5 \text{ °C}$ . An incandescent flood lamp was used with a filter that was submerged in the water bath. The filter blocked most light outside of the photosynthetically active range. The composition of gas flowing over the leaf was always adjusted to  $1\% O_2$ .

The plants in the greenhouse were grown in pots filled with a sand, vermiculite and peat mix containing adequate plant nutrients. Supplemental lighting maintained at least  $1200 \,\mu \text{Em}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The beet leaves were studied when the plants were about 30 cm tall with 15 to 20 leaves. The bean leaves were on plants sufficiently mature to produce blossoms and runners. The leaves chosen for the measurements were near the end of their rapid expansion growth phase.

The CO<sub>2</sub> dark response curves (Figure 1) were traced on chart paper running 0.4 mm s<sup>-1</sup> through a recorder connected to a CO<sub>2</sub> gas analyzer. The total change in the gas stream CO<sub>2</sub> levels from light to dark is expressed as a leaf fixation rate in Figures 1 and 2. They are based on the absolute amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the gas stream leaving the leaf chamber. Thus the fixation rates include the dark respiration of CO<sub>2</sub>, but not any CO<sub>2</sub> fixed by PEP carboxylase if the fixation by PEP carboxylase remained constant during each observation. The areas under the curves were measured with a planimeter interfaced with a small computer programmed to give net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation during the time period in which the curve was traced. These values for areas were corrected for lag time of the instrument. The magnitude of this correction depends on the change in gas concentration associated with the change from maximum to minimum CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rates, the volume of gas in the leaf container, the volume of gas in the connecting lines and in the CO<sub>2</sub> analyzer chamber, and the flow rate. A family of curves like those shown in Figure 1 were produced by using aluminium foil in place of a leaf and abruptly increasing the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> flowing into the chamber. The areas under this family of curves were plotted as a function of their intercepts on the vertical axis. For our system this produced a nearly straight calibration curve with an intercept on the horizontal axis at 7 mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. It was used to correct the areas under leaf curves for instrument lag time.

<sup>\*</sup>Dow Corning 738 R1v (noncorrosive electronics grade). Trade names and company names are included for the benefit of the reader and do not imply any endorsement or prefertential treatment of the product listed by the US Department of Agriculture.



Figure 1. Recorder traces of  $CO_2$  assimilation by a sugar beet leaf following the abrupt onset of darkness. The curve parameters indicate the amount of time the leaf had been in the dark without any external  $CO_2$  supply before the decay curves were measured.

#### **Results and discussion**

Figure 1. shows three superimposed recorder traces of  $CO_2$  uptake by a beet leaf as a function of time in the dark. At time zero the light was switched off and the curve labeled 'no delay' was traced. The light was then turned on, the  $CO_2$  uptake allowed to stabilize and then the curve labeled '1 min delay' was traced. This was accomplished by simultaneously turning off the light and blocking the gas flow over the leaf. One minute later the gas flow was resumed at time zero shown in Figure 1 and the dashed curve was traced with the leaf remaining in the dark. The inside curve shows the trace following a three minute dark gas flow delay.

The shape of the three curves shown in Figure 1 may be interpreted by considering how  $CO_2$  is utilized in the chloroplasts. Carbon dioxide and RuP<sub>2</sub> react on specific catalytic sites to form 3-phosphoglycerate. The rate at which  $CO_2$  is fixed depends on the concentrations of  $CO_2$  and RuP<sub>2</sub> as well as the number of activated carboxylation sites. The activation of the



Figure 2. Recorder traces of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by a sugarbeet leaf following the abrupt onset of darkness after two steady state light levels. The rise of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation is also shown following 90 s in the dark.

carboxylation sites and the formation of  $RuP_2$  both require energy orginating from the capture of photons [6]. When the light is turned off the formation of active carboxylation sites stops and their concentration begins to decrease through random disassociation. At the onset of darkness  $CO_2$  fixation continues only until either the pool of  $RuP_2$  or the active carboxylating sites disappear. The reaction of  $CO_2$  and  $RuP_2$  at an active site does not necessarily destroy the site's activity [6].

Consider the consequences of turning of both the light and the gas flow to the leaf and then, after a short delay, resuming gas flow over the leaf in the dark. When the light and gas flow are turned off simulataneously the reaction is soon limited by  $CO_2$  and the rate of use of the residual  $RuP_2$  depends on the amount of  $CO_2$  being formed by respiration. When the gas flow is resumed in the dark the amount of  $CO_2$  subsequently fixed is reduced because the pool of  $RuP_2$  was decreased in the dark by  $CO_2$  from respiration. Note that the areas under the curves shown in Figure 1 (after correcting for instrument lag) represent  $CO_2$  absorbed from the 1%  $O_2$  gas stream in the dark. Consequently, when there is no delay in the gas flow the amount of  $CO_2$  absorbed is equivalent to the pool size of  $RuP_2$ . When there is a delay the pool size of  $RuP_2$  corresponds to the area under the curve plus the amount of  $CO_2$  released by respiration during the time the air stream was stopped.

Could the loss of active carboxylation sites sometimes stop the dark fixation of  $CO_2$  rather than the disappearance of  $RuP_2$ ? If  $CO_2$  and  $RuP_2$  were both present, and a decrease in active carboxylation sites was forcing  $CO_2$  fixation toward zero in the dark, the initial reaction rate at time zero in Figure 1 would decrease as the dark time delay increased. This would also lead to curves that do not go to zero for at least 3.5 minutes, i.e., because the area under the inside curve in Figure 1 is greater than instrument lag correction, it guarantees that the carboxylation sites remain active at least 3.5 minutes in the dark. The shape of the curves in Figure 1 do then support the proposition that it is the disappearance of  $RuP_2$  rather than the disappearance of carboxylation sites that causes  $CO_2$  assimilation to go to zero following the loss of light. This is in general agreement with other recent results [5, 6].

The coorected area under the dark response curve thus corresponds to the amount of RuP<sub>2</sub> that reacts in the dark. If the light dependent high energy intermediates that form RuP<sub>2</sub> decay within a few seconds following the onset of darkness, the amount of RuP<sub>2</sub> that forms following the onset of dark is small compared to the amount of RuP<sub>2</sub> present initially. We believe this may be a valid assumption, based on the shape of the CO<sub>2</sub> response curves shown in Figure 2. These curves are also similar to those observed by Perchorowicz et al. [5] and Creach and Stewart [3]. The ambient CO<sub>2</sub> level was the same for both curves in Fig. 2 but fixation was reduced by the 'low light'. Low light leads to reduced concentrations of high energy RuP<sub>2</sub> forming intermediates [5]. Thus, if the shape of the dark response CO<sub>2</sub> curves was being significantly affected by the continued formation of RuP<sub>2</sub>, the 'high light' curve should not drop off as quickly as the 'low light' curve. However, the 'high light' curve has a steeper slope than the 'low light' curve, indicating the light dependent high energy compounds that drive the formation of RuP<sub>2</sub> must react or decay rapidly. The fast response following the onset of light (Figure 2) supports this interpretation.

Corrected areas are shown in Table 1 for dark time delay measurements of three leaves. The areas decreased to zero on all the leaves after three to five minutes in the dark indicating that the  $RuP_2$  had by that time all reacted with  $CO_2$  produced by dark respiration. The negative area values result from error that may be partly systematic due to the way the instrument lag time correction was made. Leaf dark respiration may cause the  $CO_2$  level in the gas stream to reach the higher steady state level more rapidly than it does over the aluminum foil surface we used for calibration. The random uncertainty in the data we present here is probably near  $\pm 20 \,\mu eq \,m^{-2}$ .

Leaf fixation rate	Beet 0.97 mg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		Beet 1.23 mg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		Bean 0.59 mg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
Time delay after light off, min.	decay time s	corrected area µeq. m <sup>-2</sup>	decay time s	corrected area µeq. m <sup>-2</sup>	decay time s	corrected area µeq. m <sup>-2</sup>
0	120	219	76	108	73	245
1	74	144	57	84	49	111
2	60	129	50	30	40	79
3	45	55	37	- 2	36	9
5	36	- 13	31	- 27	26	35

Table 1. Effects of withholding  $CO_2$  (0-5 minutes in the dark) on the areas under the subsequent  $CO_2$  assimilation curves and on the time it took these curves to reach a steady state level in the dark.

The areas in Table 1 may be compared to other measurements of RuP<sub>2</sub> based on the assay of frozen tissue. Perchorowicz et al. [5], Creach and Stewart [3] and Sharkey and Badger [7] reported RuP<sub>2</sub> levels between 150 and 300 mol mg<sup>-1</sup> chlorophyll (Chl). Assuming 500 mg Chlm<sup>-2</sup>, the areas in Table 1 of 245 and 108  $\mu$ eq m<sup>-2</sup> convert to 490 and 216 nmol mg<sup>-1</sup> Chl. This suggests the two methods give similar values for RuP<sub>2</sub>. Because the RuP<sub>2</sub> pool turnover is so rapid in both the light and dark, it is difficult to obtain representative leaf samples for chemical assay and freeze them before changes occur [7]. There are also possibilities for error in the method we propose here. Already discussed is the likelihood of some RuP<sub>2</sub> formation after the light is turned off, and also the assumption that any change in CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by PEP carboxylase is negligible during the time span of the measurements. We further assume that the dark respiration is constant during the decay periods shown in Figure 1 and 2. If, in fact, it takes 10 or 15 seconds for the dark respiration to rise to a steady state level, the areas we measure would be a little greater than the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> actually used. As previously noted in the methods section the measured rate of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake shown in Figures 1 and 2 is the sum of the net light fixation rate plus the dark respiration rate. Another question concerns the area correction for instrument lag time we used. If the dark evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> does significantly effect the CO<sub>2</sub> time response curve, we may have over-corrected the areas under the curves by as much as  $25 \mu eq m^{-2}$ . This would be a compensating error compared to the others.

The decay times in Table 1 are measurements of the time required for the curves to fall from their steady state rates of  $CO_2$  uptake in light to their steady state rates in the dark, i.e. to the zero rate shown in Figure 1.

Interpretation of the decay times is not so straight forward as interpreting the areas under the response curves. The decay time depends on characteristics of the gas flow system and the analyzer, as well as the individual concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $RuP_2$ , and activated carboxylating sites in the chloroplasts. When the initial amount of  $RuP_2$  is less, the decay time will be less. On the other hand, a decrease in the number of active carboxylating sites or a decrease in the ambient level of  $CO_2$  in the chloroplasts will increase the decay time. Thus when  $RuP_2$  is less and the decay time does not change, the  $CO_2$  level or the active sites or both must also have decreased. For example, in Table 1 the decay times decreased as the dark delay periods increased. Since the areas, interpreted here as  $RuP_2$  concentrations, also decreased it follows that the activation of  $RuP_2$  carboxylase remained high during the dark periods since the ambient  $CO_2$  was high in the mesophyll tissue. If the decay times had not decreased, or had increased, a logical conclusion would have been that the activity of the carboxylating enzyme was also rapidly decreasing in the dark.

Analysis of  $CO_2$  decay curves has a number of potentially interesting applications. For example, we observed the curves for several leaves that had been fixing  $CO_2$  at steady state levels under saturating and then under limiting light levels. The results are summarized in Table 2. The  $RuP_2$  pool sizes were reduced at low light levels in the case of the two beet leaves. They were not reduced in the bean leaves. The decay times were not really changed by the light, indicating that the activity of  $RuP_2$  carboxylase may also have been reduced by low light intensities, which is in agreement with the results of Perchorowicz et al. [5]. Note the strikingly different relation between changes in  $RuP_2$  concentration and decay times for beets shown in Tables 1 and 2, i.e., the decay times in Table 2 are not proportional to  $RuP_2$  indicating  $RuP_2$  carboxylase was controlling the fixation rate in some cases. The decay times for the last bean leaf in Table 2 was less than those for the first leaf suggesting the activity of the  $RuP_2$  carboxylase was a bit greater in the last leaf.

Light Leaf	Light µE m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	P mg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Ci mg m <sup>-3</sup>	Decay time s	RuP <sub>2</sub> µeq m <sup>-2</sup>
Beet	1250	1.00	602	77	203
	240	0.49	703	69	97
Beet	1250	1.03	519	76	175
	240	0.53	632	71	111
Bean	1250	0.50	640	96	118
	240	0.32	694	91	105
Веал	1250	0.59	665	73	108
	240	0.32	732	67	114

Table 2. The effect of light levels on the dark decay times and RuP<sub>2</sub> pool sizes associated with various steady state CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates, P, and various concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase of the mesophyll, C<sub>1</sub>

Another application is shown by the data presented in Table 3 concerning the CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation of a single beet leaf over a 2 day period under a constant light intensity of  $1250 \,\mu\text{E}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ . The first 7 entries refer to measurements

made the first day. They define the  $CO_2$  response curve which is plotted as a dashed line in Figure 3. The pool size of  $RuP_2$  did not appear to be particularly affected by low ambient  $CO_2$  levels; but, do the long decay times indicate that low ambient  $CO_2$  levels reduce the activity of the carboxylating enzymes? The conclusion is not so clear-cut as for the data in Tables 1 and 2 where the concentration of extracellular  $CO_2$  i.e.  $C_i$ , remained high. It is possible that, rather than low concentrations of active carboxylating sites, low concentrations of  $CO_2$  in the chloroplasts begin to limit the fixation rate, keeping the decay times large.

On the second day (entries 8 through 14, Table 3) water stress began to develop as shown by the stomatal resistance,  $r_s$ . These data also define 'CO<sub>2</sub> response curve' as shown by the arrows in Figure 3. These arrows indicate the progression of measurements during the day. Comparison of the two response curves in Fig. 3 indicate that the decreased CO<sub>2</sub> fixation under water stress was due to factors other than increased stomatal resistance since the use of C<sub>1</sub> circumvents dependence on stomatal resistance. C<sub>i</sub> was calculated from the approximate relation  $P = (C_a - C_i)r_s^{-1}$  where C<sub>a</sub> is the ambient concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the leaf chamber (Farquhar and Sharkey) [4].



Figure 3.  $CO_2$  assimilation, P, responses of a sugarbeet leaf as affected by  $CO_2$  levels,  $C_1$ , in the gas phase of the mesophyll. The solid circles show the response on day one, the arrows and open circles show the response on day 2 as water stress was progressively increasing and the crosses are observations on the 3rd day after watering.

Water stress and the pool size of  $RuP_2$  in the beet leaf did not appear to be singularly related to each other nor did the  $RuP_2$  levels and decay times appear to be much different from the non-stressed measurements on the previous day (Table 3). However, the stomata responded to changes in CO<sub>2</sub>

No.	P mg s <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup>	<sup>រ</sup> ទ ៖ ៣ <sup>- រ</sup>	C <sub>1</sub> mg m <sup>-3</sup>	RuP <sub>2</sub> μeq m <sup>-2</sup>	Decay time s
		not sti	ressed		
1	1.01	120	643	175	73
2	0.95	110	554	147	92
3	0.83	120	449	172	85
4	0.66	110	313	197	102
5	0.57	140	254	156	68
6	0.31	120	209	168	99
7	0.12	110	147	132	102
		Water stress	increasing		
8	0.86	270	631	180	89
9	0.83	370	586	191	62
10	0.67	390	525	123	60
11	0.46	290	348	148	66
12	0.28	260	283	134	90
13	0.51	520	557	121	57
14	0.37	740	555	146	72

Table 3. Observations of RuP, levels and dark decay times for a sugarbeet leaf at various levels of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilations, P, stomatal resistance,  $r_8$ , and mesophyll CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, C<sub>4</sub>

under stressed conditions (entreis 10-13) but not at these same  $CO_2$  levels under non-stressed conditions (entreis 1-7). Wong et al. [10] have previously cited evidence that stomatal conductance may be coupled with  $CO_2$ assimilation through mechanisms other than stomata gas diffusion resistance. Moreover, interrelations between water stress, abscisic acid, and stomatal response to  $CO_2$  levels have been demonstrated [4, 2, 8] but are not yet completely understood. In any case, the stress effects on stomatal opening and  $CO_2$  assimilation were readily reversible as shown by the  $CO_2$  response points in Figure 3 on the third day when the plant had been watered.

## Conclusion

We believe analysis of the dark response curves at 1%  $O_2$  hold considerable potential because it is a nondestructive technique that can provide information on changes in both RuP<sub>2</sub> and RuP<sub>2</sub> carboxylase activity. It is possible that the corrected area under the dark CO<sub>2</sub> response curve over-estimates the initial pool size of RuP<sub>2</sub>, but the areas do compare with values of RuP<sub>2</sub> reported in the recent literature. In any case, at low O<sub>2</sub> levels, the area is a measure of the amount of RuP<sub>2</sub> that reacts after the abrupt onset of darkness, and so is at least an indicator of the leaf's inherent ability to produce RuP<sub>2</sub>. Refinements in experimental techniques are needed to increase the accuracy of measurements of the corrected areas under light-dark CO<sub>2</sub> response curves. These areas need to be compared to chemical assays of RuP<sub>2</sub> made on adjacent leaves just before the onset of darkness.

## References

- 1. Cary JW (1981) Calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> gas phase diffusion in leaves and its relation to stomatal resistance. Photosynthesis Res 2:185-194
- Cowan IR, Raven JA, Hartun W and Farquhar GD (1982) A possible role for abscisic acid in coupling stomatal conductance and photosynthetic carbon metabolism in leaves. Aust J Plant Physiol 9:489-498.
- 3. Creach E and Stewart CR (1982) Effects of aminoacetonitrole on net photosynthesis, ribulose-1-5-bisphosphate levels and glycolate pathway intermediates. Plant Physiol 70:1444-1448
- 4. Farquhar GD and Sharkey TD (1982) Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. Ann Rev Plant Physiol 33:317-345
- 5. Perchorowicz JT, Raynes DA and Jensen RG (1981) Light limitation of photosynthesis and activation of ribulose biphosphate carboxylase in wheat seedlings. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 78:2985-2989
- 6. Robinson SP and Walker DA (1981) Photosynthetic Carbon Reduction Cycle, The Biochemistry of Plants, Vol 8, p 208. Stumpf PK and Conn EE (eds) Academic Press Inc NY
- 7. Sharkey TD and Badger MR (1982) Effects of water stress on photosynthetic electron transport, photophorylation, and metabolite levels of Xanthium strumarium mesophyll cells. Planta 156:199-206
- Snaith PJ and Mansfield TA (1982) Control of the CO<sub>2</sub> responses of stomata by indol-3-ylacetic acid and abscisic acid. J of ExpBot 33:360-365
- von Caemmerer S and Farquhar GD (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153:376-387
- Wong SC, Cowan IR and Farquhar GD (1979) Stomatal conductance correlates with photosynthetic capacity. Nature 282:424-426