SUGAR BEET (*Beta vulgaris* ssp. *vulgaris*)
Beet curly top; *Beet severe curly top virus*

L. Panella and C. A. Strausbaugh; USDA-ARS, Sugar Beet Research Unit, Crops Research Lab, 1701 Centre Ave., Fort Collins, CO 80526-2083 and USDA-ARS NWISRL, 3793 N. 3600 E., Kimberly, ID 83341

Beet curly top resistance of released germplasm from the USDA-ARS Program at Fort Collins, CO, 2009.

Seventy sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) germplasms released from the USDA-ARS Breeding Program in Fort Collins, Colorado over the last 40 years and 27 experimental germplasm were screened for resistance to *Beet severe curly top virus* (BSCTV) in 2009. The curly top evaluation was conducted at the USDA-ARS North Farm in Kimberly, ID which had been in beans in 2008. The field was plowed in the fall, fertilized (75 lb N/A and 75 lb P_2O_5/A) on 22 Apr 09, sprayed with Ethotron (2 pt/A), and roller harrowed. The germplasm was planted (density of about 143,000 seeds/A) on 18 May. The plots were two rows 10 ft long with 22-in row spacing and arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications. A resistant breeding line from Betaseed, Inc., G6040, was included as a resistant check. The fields were sprinkler irrigated and hand weeded as necessary. Plant populations were thinned to about 47,500 plants/A on 19 Jun. Plants were inoculated at the four to six leaf growth stage on 23 Jun with six viruliferous beet leafhoppers per plant. The beet leafhoppers were moved twice a day (right after sunrise and just before sunset) for one week by dragging a tarp through the field. The plants were sprayed with Lorsban 4E (1.5 pints/A) on 7 Jul to kill the beet leafhoppers. The plots were rated for foliar symptom development using a scale of 0-9 (0 = healthy and 9 = dead; Mumford 1974), with disease index (DI) treated as a continuous variable. Data were analyzed using the general linear models procedure (Proc GLM-SAS), and Fisher's protected least significant difference was used for mean comparisons.

Disease development was uniform and severe. Other disease problems were not evident in the plot area. Only 14 germplasm (some of the FC600 series, FC403CMS, and some experimental germplasms) were not significantly different from G6040 (resistant control). Very little of the germplasm tested was selected specifically for resistance to beet curly top, except for FC600 lines. They were developed to combine resistance to BSCTV with resistance to *Cercospora beticola*. Nonetheless, it is important for germplasm to be screened against as many diseases as possible, because plant breeders want as much information for a germplasm as is available. Many of the germplasms under development were from a collaborative breeding project with the USDA-ARS sugar beet breeding program at Salinas, CA, and much of the germplasm from this source has good resistance to beet curly top. Two of the lines that did well were experimental hybrids in which the female parent (C790-15cms and C833-tcms) was a Salinas germplasm with good resistance to beet curly top. Some of the more recently released lines from the FC700 series also did well, although significantly worse than the resistant check. Both FC721 and FC723 were developed to combine resistance to Rhizoctonia root and crown rot with resistance to beet curly top, and both were significantly better than the worse performing germplasm (DI = 9.0). The FC400 lines, which did well, were released historically as parental lines for performance under Spanish growing conditions and both FC403CMS and FC401 did well.

Source	PI no. ^z	Designation/Description	DI ^y
19751073H	PI590664	FC701-4 (4X)	9.0 a
19751079H	W6 17137	FC701-5	9.0 a
19681009-0		FC702	9.0 a
19721018	PI590658	FC702-4	9.0 a
19721057	W6 17138	FC702-5	9.0 a
19751075H	PI590657	FC703 (4X)	9.0 a
19851054HO		FC502/3	9.0 a
19751093		FC701-3	9.0 a
19951035		FC714	9.0 a
19771067HO	PI590823	FC504	8.3 ab
19931024	PI590661	FC701	8.3 ab
19851044HO	W6 17125	FC505	8.3 ab
19861039	PI590766	FC712	8.3 ab
19961010HO1	PI636337	FC722CMS	8.0 a-c
19741078H	W6 17136	FC701-2	8.0 a-c
19921028HO	PI590845	FC708 (re-indexed)	8.0 a-c
20021011H	PI599668	FC709-2	8.0 a-c
19911026НО1		FC715 CMS	8.0 a-c
20061009	PI594910	FC721	7.8 b-d
19961014	PI632251	FC724	7.8 b-d
20041010НО		FC712/MonoHyA4	7.8 b-d
19961013HO		FC506	7.7 b-e
19791070H	PI590722	FC703-4	7.7 b-e
19851032H/ ^x			
20081008	PI590754	FC705-1	7.7 b-e
19921028HO1		FC708CMS (re-indexed)	
19991018		FC709	7.7 b-e
20041007		FC709-2	
19971017		FC710 (4X)	
19821087		FC711	7.7 b-e
20051019		FC712 (4X)	
19911032		FC718	7.7 b-e
19961010НО		FC722	7.7 b-e
19921019		FC729 – FC712/A4, 3 cycles Rhizoc, MM	
20001019	PI590660	FC705	7.5 b-f
19851044HO1	W6 17126	FC505CMS	7.3 b-g
19961013HO1		FC506CMS	_
19991017	PI590656	FC703	7.2 c-h
19831085HO1		FC708CMS	
19941024		FC710	7.2 c-h
19911037		FC719	7.2 c-h
19921025		FC728	7.2 c-h
		FC703-5	7.2 c-h
19991016		FC702/2	7.2 c-h
20071013	PI651015	FC220	7.0 c-i
20001021	PI590702	FC707	7.0 c-i
19921020	PI506238	FC707 (4X)	7.0 c-i
19971019		FC716	7.0 c-i
1986A046		FC606 (4X)	
20021017		FC726	7.0 c-i
20011022	PI590663	FC701-4	6.8 d-j
20001020		FC706	6.8 d-j
19921022		FC702-7	6.8 d-i
20041002		FC709-2	
		FC220-1 sel - inc. 20051030	
		(Best FC LSR x Best EL LSR) - mm seedballs Increased	
		Z325 - Salinas high sucrose with disease resistance	
		FC712/MonoHyA4	
		03-FC1015 FC201 sib - sel R	
		CMS equivalent.	
		1	J

Source	PI no. ^z	Designation/Description	DI^y
19941027		Leaf Spot Susceptible synthetic check	6.8 d-j
20051022	PI634210	FC301	6.7 e-k
20001017	PI636335	FC720	6.7 e-k
20051021	PI63018	FC201	6.5 f-k
1997A050	PI590837	FC607	6.5 f-k
20001018	PI590659	FC704	6.5 f-k
20051018/x			
20081010	PI574628	FC717	6.5 f-k
19931005HO1	PI594911	FC721CMS	6.5 f-k
19951016HO1	PI639918	FC723CMS	6.5 f-k
19951017	PI599669	FC727	6.5 f-k
20071014	PI651016	FC221	6.3 g-l
19911042HO		FC402	6.3 g-l
1978A044	PI590843	FC606	6.3 g-l
20031007		FC721	6.3 g-l
19951016НО		FC723	6.3 g-l
20021016		FC725	6.3 g-l
20051006		B.v. ssp. maritima (Greece [BGRC 45511] - annual) x Sucrose _{MM}	
19941029НО		FC401	6.2 h-m
1986A048		FC607 (4X)	
1997A051		FC607CMS	
20041012		20021022 – '2859/FC607&FC604 MM = FC123 _{MM} , ½ sib of FC301	
20051025		Sucrose _{MM} x LSR _{MM} Fargo & LSRMM Fargo x Sucrose _{MM}	
		(Best FC LSR x Best EL LSR) - M- seedballs Increased	
20061005HO1		03-124 CMS equivalent	
20001005HO1 20001025HO		FC604	6.0 i-n
1986A045		FC606CMS (4X)	
1986A047		FC607CMS (4X)	
		(907 x 709-2)F ₃	
20061008HO			
		Z325 x (Sucrose _{MM} x BGRC 45511)]	
19911043HO1		FC403CMS	
20061008HO1		03-FC1014-22 x C833-H5 CMS	
20001025HO1		FC604CMS	
		03-124	
		Inc. 2005A020 - half sibs of FC123mm (FC301); monogerm	
		C790-15cms x FC20021023 (½ sibs of FC123mm (FC301))	
		C833-5cms x FC20021023 (½ sibs of FC123mm (FC301))	
1978A045		FC606CMS	
		Beta G6040 - Resistant Check	
Coefficient of variation			
LSD ($P \le 0.05$)			1.1

^z The PI (Plant Introduction) number is given to accession entered into USDA-ARS National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS) and a W6 prefix refers to an accession, which is held by the Western Regional Plant Introduction Station at Pullman, WA, (where the active beet collection is held) but has not been entered into the NPGS.

^yDI = Disease index on a scale of 0 (no damage) to 9 (dead plant).

^x Seed from two seed productions was combined to have enough for the test. Seed from 19771019 was combined with 20081010 and seed from 20001019 was combined with 20081008.