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Abstract

Mineral analysis of forage from a nitrogen (N) fertilizer, field study produced unexpectedly high iron (Fe) concentrations which were correlated with the N fertilizer level (r² = .92) and the percentage N in the forage (r² = .94). The high Fe values were presumed to be associated with dust on the leaves. The objective of this study was to determine the level of soil contamination on the forage sample and the contribution of mineral in the contaminant to that measured in the sample. Soil contamination of plant tissue samples was calculated from the dilution of soil titanium (Ti) assuming that the uncontaminated tissue contained 0 g Ti/g. Tissue harvested from the 0, 28, 56, or 84 kg N/ha treatments contained 23, 49, 48, and 60 mg soil/g, respectively. Significant N-fertilizer effects would have been accepted for each element tested if soil contamination had been ignored. Correcting for contamination resulted in significant N-fertilizer effects on the concentrations of sodium, potassium, manganese, iron, and zinc but not magnesium or calcium in the forage. Some of these effects may be explained by the acidifying effect of the N fertilizer source.

Interest in mineral cycling under semiarid pasture conditions led to the investigation of elemental concentrations in forage that had received annual nitrogen (N) fertilizer applications during a 4-year period. Initial chemical analysis indicated that fertilizer N increased the concentrations of both N and iron (Fe) in the forage. Because Fe concentrations exceeded 300 μg/g, we suspected that the forage samples were contaminated with soil.

Procedures based on the ability of the plant to discriminate against the uptake of soil aluminum (Al), Fe, and titanium (Ti) have been developed to measure the degree of soil contamination in plant tissue (Healy et al. 1974, Metson et al. 1979, and Nes 1975). Metson et al. assumed that Fe values of 80, 100, and 100 μg/g for grass, clover, and other herbage, respectively, were threshold concentrations between endogenous (absorbed internally by the plant) and exogenous (external as dust) Fe. They also assumed that threshold concentrations of Al were 70, 100, and 120 μg/g for grass, clover, or other herbage, respectively. Because Fe concentrations exceeded 300 μg/g, we suspected that the forage samples were contaminated with soil.

In each case forage mineral concentrations were corrected for soil contamination based upon these threshold values of Fe or Al. They found reasonable agreement between the two estimates, although in some instances the amount of soil contamination estimated from the Fe values was considerably higher than that derived from the Al data.

Titanium might provide a highly sensitive measure of soil contamination because of its low concentration, less than 3 μg/g, in plants and the large discrimination factor of 10,000 to 500,000 between the concentration in soils and in plants (Mitchell 1960 and Shacklette 1980). These discrimination values are compared with values of 500 to 3000 for Fe and 1000 to 5000 for Al (Mitchell 1960 and Shacklette 1980). This advantage for Ti, however, is valid only if the analytical sensitivity is similar for each of the elements.

The objectives of this study were to utilize the Ti method to measure the level of soil contamination, to identify endogenous levels of elements measured in plant tissue, and to determine the real effect of N fertilization on endogenous mineral concentrations in the forage tissue. Previous authors have given little detail to the methodology. Therefore, additional discussion is directed to the mineral dilution technique as a means to calculate endogenous mineral values.

Methods and Procedure

The experiment was conducted on a previously established field of crested wheatgrass (Agropyron desertorum (Fisch. ex Link) Schult.) located on a sandy loam xeric cambisol soil on the Squaw Butte Experiment Station near Burns, Ore. The experiment consisted of a randomized block design with 3 replications. The plots were fertilized each autumn for 4 years with 0, 28, 56 or 84 kg N/ha as ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃). The fourth year's growth had been hand clipped at a 3-cm stubble height in mid summer, dried at 55° C, ground to pass through a 1-mm sieve, and stored over phosphorus pentoxide (P₂O₅) desiccant. Samples were analyzed for total N by the Kjeldahl procedure which was modified to include nitrate. Mineral concentrations were determined by atomic absorption with either flame or flameless (for Ti only) atomization of samples previously digested in a 3:1 mixture of nitric: perchloric acid (HNO₃:HClO₄) and appropriately diluted. Methods of soil (<100 μm) or titanium dioxide (TiO₂) additions to forage samples produced linear responses (r² = .99) to Ti measured in the 0 to 500 μg/g range. The coefficient of variation of these analyses was about 5%.

Soil pH was determined on a saturated paste of a composite sample taken from 0 to 15-cm depth in each plot. A composite soil sample, collected from the 0 to 1-cm depth and passing through a 100-m sieve, was dry ashed at 550° C overnight and then digested with HNO₃:HClO₄ (3:1) prior to elemental analysis. The soil concentration of the tissue samples was determined from the dilution of soil Ti or Fe assuming 0 or 80 μg/g endogenous Ti or Fe in the plant, respectively. The endogenous mineral concentration (Mₑ) in the forage was calculated as follows:

\[ Mₑ = \left[ (Mₐ - (Tiₐ/Meₐ)(I - Tiₐ/Tiₐ)) \right] \]

where Me and Mₐ were the mineral concentrations in the plant and soil sample, respectively, and Tiₐ and Tiₑ were the Ti concentrations in the plant and soil samples, respectively. For the Fe procedure, soil Fe concentration was substituted for Ti and plant Fe...
Table 1. Mean mineral concentrations in soil and forage, the ratio of the mineral concentration in soil to that endogenous in the plant (calculated by the titanium dilution technique) and the endogenous mineral concentration in forage fertilized with four rates of N.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Conc. in soil</th>
<th>Conc. in forage</th>
<th>Mineral ratio</th>
<th>Endogenous mineral conc. for each fertilizer N rate (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conc.</td>
<td>Apparent</td>
<td>Endogenous</td>
<td>Conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>18,200</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>10,600</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>1,840</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>16,300</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>4,785</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;4785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

concentration minus 80 µg/g was substituted for Ti.

Data were analyzed by analysis of variance and the effects of N fertilizer on the factors under consideration were partitioned into linear and quadratic components.

Results and Discussion

Forage samples were processed and analyzed for various elements. Data from these initial analyses were identified as apparent concentrations because they were not adjusted or corrected for the effects of soil contamination. The apparent concentration means across all N treatments are shown in Table 1. Analysis of variance of these data indicated significant (P<.01 for magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), Fe, and zinc (Zn) and P<.05 for sodium (Na), potassium (K), manganese (Mn), and Ti) effects of fertilizer N on mineral concentrations in forage (not shown). The response to fertilizer N rates was linear (P<.01) for each element while the quadratic was significant (P<.05) only for K and Zn.

Forage Fe concentrations (not shown) were positively correlated with both N fertilization rate (r = -.92) and forage-N concentrations (r = .94). It was not known whether this occurred because of (1) N-enhanced plant nutrition, (2) fertilizer N decreased soil pH and increased mineral availability and uptake by plants or (3) soil contamination. The positive correlation (r = .98) between Fe and Ti suggested that soil contamination may have biased the apparent mineral concentration data.

Soil contamination and apparent mineral concentration data were next corrected by the Ti dilution technique. Soil contamination was calculated as 23, 49, 48, and 60 mg/g of sample for the 0, 28, 56, and 84 kg N/ha fertilizer treatments, respectively. Mean values for the corrected mineral data, hereafter called endogenous values, are shown in Table 1 for each N treatment and across all N treatments.

Analysis of variance of the endogenous data indicated significant (P<.01 for Fe and Zn and P<.05 for Na, K and Mn) effects of fertilizer N on forage mineral concentrations. The response to fertilizer rates (Table 1) was significantly linear (P<.01 for Mn, Fe, and Zn and P<.05 for Na and K) for these minerals whereas the quadratic was significant (P<.05) for N and Zn. Fertilization had no effect on endogenous Mg and Ca concentrations.

The analysis of variance was also used to measure the importance of correcting forage mineral values for soil contamination. The corrected, or endogenous values, were significantly different (P<.01) from the apparent values for Na, Mg, Ca, Mn, and Fe, but not different (P<.01) for K or Zn values.

Endogenous mineral values calculated by the Ti procedure were compared with those calculated by the Fe procedure. The endogenous mineral concentrations were not different (P<.2) when the two procedures were compared. Forage Fe values calculated by the two procedures would not be compared because all values were set at 80 µg Fe/g for the Fe method. The Fe values corrected by the Ti method (Table 1) were 62, 59, 163 and 209 µg/g for the 0, 28, 56 and 84 kg/ha N fertilizer rates, respectively. This range in endogenous Fe reduces the confidence placed on the assumed endogenous value of 80 µg Fe/g in grasses.

The reduction in soil pH (6.9, 6.3, 6.1, and 5.8 at N rates of 0, 28, 56, and 84 kg/ha, respectively) would increase soil-Fe and Mn solubility and uptake by plants (Hodgson 1963 and Horvath 1972), thus explaining the increase in endogenous forage Fe and Mn values with increasing N fertilization rates (Table 1). The N fertilizer tended to retard morphological development and produced plants with large, thin leaves and larger leaf:stem ratio (Hyder and Sneva 1961). Leaves of the N fertilized plants were not erect, but folded or bent in a way which positioned them closer to the ground and exposed them to more dust.

Conclusions

Significant N fertilizer effects would have been accepted for each element tested if soil contamination, as measured by the Ti dilution technique, had been ignored. Correcting these apparent values for soil contamination still resulted in significant N fertilizer effects on Na, K, Mn, Fe, and Zn concentrations, but the effects of N on forage Mg and Ca concentrations were not significant. Calculated values based on the 80 µg/g threshold value for Fe were not different than those based on 0 µg/g for Ti, except that the effect of N fertilizer increased endogenous Fe concentrations. The effect of soil pH on forage Fe uptake would reduce the acceptability of the Fe dilution technique to determine the effect of soil contamination, especially where plants are grown on soils where pH differs.

The ratio of mineral concentration in soil to that in plant tissue was not as large for Fe and Ti as suggested from literature values. This may have occurred because of a lower mineral concentration in this soil or an incomplete recovery by the wet ashing procedure. Nevertheless, concentrations of these two elements were useful when calculating the amount of soil contamination in forage. Soil treatments that alter the concentration of endogenous Fe, or even Ti, in the plant will reduce the accuracy of this procedure.

The concentration of Fe or Ti may vary with soil particle size. Thus the choice of soil particle size that is chosen to represent the dust on the plant sample may be very crucial. Extraction or solubilization of soil and plant samples should use the same procedures to account for similar solubilities in the soil and dust fractions. If the reference soil sample accurately represents the contaminating dust on the forage then the Ti procedure is preferred, because Ti and Fe can be analyzed with equal sensitivity and the Ti method allows measurement of treatment effects on endogenous Fe concentrations in tissue. Both Fe and Al concentrations in forage plants are believed to be more responsive to small changes in soil pH than is Ti, but that must still be investigated.

Washing forage samples immediately after harvest reduces the amount of soil contamination, but washing is not always possible, nor is extensive washing desirable because of the potential loss of some endogenous minerals like K. Techniques are available that allow correction for soil contamination and associated minerals. Corrections based on ash content (Thompson and Raven 1955) are of no value when studying grasses, because endogenous silicon
dioxide (SiO₂) may be as high as 8% (Mayland unpublished data). The usefulness of the mineral dilution technique will depend on how closely the dust contamination on the plant sample resembles that of the soil sample used to determine Al, Fe, or Ti and the other minerals.
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